

A Characterization of Hyperidentities of the Variety of Weakly Idempotent Lattices

Yuri M. Movsisyan

Yerevan State University
Yerevan, Armenia

e-mail: yurimovsisyan@yahoo.com

Diana S. Davidova

European Regional Academy
Yerevan, Armenia

e-mail: di.davidova@yandex.ru

ABSTRACT

A hyperidentity is a universal second order formula, i.e., a universal formula from the second order language (in the sense of A.Church and A.I.Mal'tsev). The hyperidentities of the variety of weakly idempotent lattices are characterized in this paper. The existence of a finite base of hyperidentities for this variety is proved as a consequence.

Keywords

Second order formula, hyperidentity, hyperequational theory, consequence, lattice, weakly idempotent lattice, variety, nilpotent shift.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

For the second order formulae (and the second order languages) see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

Let us recall that a hyperidentity is a second-order formula of the following type:

$$\forall X_1, \dots, X_m \forall x_1, \dots, x_n (w_1 = w_2),$$

where X_1, \dots, X_m are functional variables, and x_1, \dots, x_n are object variables in the words (terms) of w_1, w_2 . Hyperidentities are usually written without the quantifiers: $w_1 = w_2$. We say that in the algebra $(Q; F)$ the hyperidentity $w_1 = w_2$ is satisfied if this equality is valid when every object variable and every functional variable in it is replaced by any element from Q and by any operation of the corresponding arity from F (supposing the possibility of such replacement) [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

The class (variety) V of algebras is said to satisfy a certain hyperidentity, if this hyperidentity is satisfied in any algebra of this class (variety). In this case the hyperidentity is called a hyperidentity of the class (variety) V . The set of all hyperidentities of the given class (variety) V is called $\forall(\forall)$ -theory or hyperequational theory of the class (variety) V .

Characterizations of hyperidentities of varieties of lattices, modular lattices, distributive lattices, Boolean and De Morgan algebras were given in [8, 14, 15, 16].

Theorem 1. The variety of lattices has a finite base of hyperidentities. Namely the variety of lattices satisfies the following hyperidentities:

$$X(x, x) = x, \quad (1)$$

$$X(x, y) = X(y, x), \quad (2)$$

$$X(x, X(y, z)) = X(X(x, y), z), \quad (3)$$

$$X(Y(X(x, y), z), Y(y, z)) = Y(X(x, y), z). \quad (4)$$

And conversely, every hyperidentity of the variety of lattices is a consequence of the hyperidentities: (1), (2), (3), (4).

Theorem 2. The variety of modular lattices has a finite base of hyperidentities. Namely the variety of modular lattices satisfies the following hyperidentities: (1), (2), (3), (4) and the hyperidentity of modularity

$$\begin{aligned} X(Y(x, X(y, z)), Y(y, z)) = \\ = Y(X(x, Y(y, z)), X(y, z)). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

And, conversely, every hyperidentity of the variety of modular lattices is a consequence of the hyperidentities: (1), (2), (3), (4), (5).

Theorem 3. The variety of distributive lattices has a finite base of hyperidentities. Namely the variety of distributive lattices satisfies the following hyperidentities: (1), (2), (3) and the hyperidentity of distributivity

$$X(x, Y(y, z)) = Y(X(x, y), X(x, z)). \quad (6)$$

And, conversely, every hyperidentity of the variety of distributive lattices is a consequence of the hyperidentities: (1), (2), (3), (6).

Theorem 4. The variety of Boolean algebras satisfies the following hyperidentities: (1), (2), (3), (6) and

$$F(F(x)) = x, \quad (7)$$

$$X(F(x), y) = X(F(X(x, y)), y), \quad (8)$$

$$F(X(F(X(x, y)), F(X(x, F(y)))))) = x. \quad (9)$$

And, conversely, every hyperidentity of the variety of Boolean algebras is a consequence of the hyperidentities: (1), (2), (3), (6), (7), (8), (9).

All hyperidentities of the variety of Boolean algebras are consequences of one of its hyperidentities, i.e., the hyperequational theory of the variety of Boolean algebras is one-based.

The integrating problem of several circuits into a single hypercircuit, using the lattice hyperidentities, was considered in [17].

The algebra $Q(+, \cdot, ')$ with two binary and one unary operations is called a De Morgan algebra if $Q(+, \cdot)$ is a distributive lattice and $Q(+, \cdot, ')$ satisfies the following identities:

$$(x + y)' = x' \cdot y',$$

$$x'' = x,$$

where $x'' = (x')'$. De Morgan algebras (and De Morgan bisemilattices) have applications in multi-valued simulations of digital circuits ([18, 19]).

Theorem 5. The variety of De Morgan algebras has a finite base of hyperidentities. Namely the variety of De Morgan algebras satisfies the following hyperidentities: (1), (2), (3), (6), (7) and

$$X(x) = Y(x), \quad (10)$$

$$F(\overline{F}(x, y), \overline{x}) = \overline{x}, \quad (11)$$

$$\overline{G}(\overline{F}(x_1, x_2)x_3) = F(\overline{G}(\overline{x}_1, x_3), \overline{G}(\overline{x}_2, x_3)), \quad (12)$$

$$F(x, F(y, z)) = F(G(x, G(y, z)), \overline{G}(\overline{x}, G(\overline{y}, \overline{z}))), \quad (13)$$

$$F(\overline{F}(G(x, e), G(x, G(y, e))), \overline{F}(G(z, e), G(z, G(t, e)))) = F(\overline{F}(G(x, G(z, e)), G(x, G(y, G(z, G(u, e))))), \overline{F}(\overline{G}(G(x, G(y, e)), \overline{G}(z, G(t, e))), e)). \quad (14)$$

And conversely, every hyperidentity of the variety of De Morgan algebras is a consequence of the hyperidentities: (1), (2), (3), (6), (7), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14).

For hyperidentities in term (polynomial) algebras, see [20, 21, 22, 23, 14, 24, 25]. Varieties of varieties are characterized by hyperidentities ([26]).

The concept of hyperidentity gives rise to new concepts of discrete mathematics, computational models, which also are applicable in quantum computation, quantum information theory, quantum artificial intelligence, quantum logic and the theory of quantum computers [27, 28].

2. MAIN RESULT

There exist various extensions of the concept of lattice. For example, in works [29, 30] weakly associative lattices were introduced. In [31] an algebra with a system of identities was introduced which we call weakly idempotent lattices (see also [32, 33]). The variety of weakly idempotent lattices is a nilpotent shift of the variety of lattices.

In this talk we characterize hyperidentities of the variety of weakly idempotent lattices.

Definition. The algebra $(L; \wedge, \vee)$ with two binary operations is called weakly idempotent lattice, if it satisfies the following identities:

$$a \wedge b = b \wedge a, a \vee b = b \vee a; \text{ (commutativity)}$$

$$(a \wedge b) \wedge c = a \wedge (b \wedge c), (a \vee b) \vee c = a \vee (b \vee c); \text{ (associativity)}$$

$$a \wedge (b \wedge b) = a \wedge b, a \vee (b \vee b) = a \vee b; \text{ (weakly idempotency)}$$

$$a \wedge (a \vee b) = a \wedge a, a \vee (a \wedge b) = a \vee a; \text{ (weakly absorption)}$$

$$a \wedge a = a \vee a. \text{ (equalization)}$$

Adding the identities of idempotency $a \wedge a = a$ and $a \vee a = a$ we obtain a lattice. Each weakly idempotent lattice corresponds to the quasiorder \leq , defined in the following way:

$$a \leq b \iff a \wedge b = a \wedge a \iff a \vee b = b \vee b.$$

Every weakly idempotent lattice $L = (L; \wedge, \vee)$ is interlaced, i.e. the operations of the weakly idempotent lattice preserve the corresponding quasiorder.

Theorem 6. The variety of weakly idempotent lattices has a finite base of hyperidentities. Namely, the variety of weakly idempotent lattices satisfies the following hyperidentities: (2), (3), (4) and

$$X(x, x) = Y(x, x), \quad (15)$$

$$X(x, X(y, y)) = X(x, y), \quad (16)$$

And, conversely, each hyperidentity of the variety of weakly idempotent lattices is a consequence of the hyperidentities (2), (3), (4), (15), (16).

REFERENCES

- [1] Church A., Introduction to Mathematical Logic, 1, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1956.
- [2] Mal'tsev A.I., Algebraic systems, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 192, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1973.
- [3] Mal'tsev A.I., "Some questions of the theory of classes of models", Proceedings of the fourth All-Union Mathematics Congress, 1963, 1, 169–190 (Russian).
- [4] Tarski A., "An extension of the Lowenheim-Skolem theorem to a second-order logic", Abstr., Short Commun. Internat. Congr. Math., Edinburg, 1958,10.
- [5] Zykov A.A., "The Spectrum Problem in the Extended Predicate Calculus", Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 17(1953), 63-76.
- [6] Movsisyan Yu.M., Introduction to the theory of algebras with hyperidentities, Yerevan State University Press, Yerevan, 1986. (Russian)
- [7] Movsisyan Yu.M., Hyperidentities and hypervarieties in algebras, Yerevan State University Press, Yerevan, 1990 (Russian).
- [8] Movsisyan Yu.M., "Hyperidentities in algebras and varieties", Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., 53(1), (1998), 61–114, (Russian) English transl. in Russ. Math. Surveys, 53, (1998), 57–108.
- [9] Movsisyan Yu.M., "Hyperidentities and hypervarieties", Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, 54(3), (2001), 595–640.
- [10] Bergman G. M., An invitation to general algebra and universal constructions, Second edition, Springer, 2015.
- [11] Smith J.D.H., "On groups of hypersubstitutions", Algebra Universalis, 64, (2010), 39–48 (doi 10.1007/s00012-010-0087-y)
- [12] Hazewinkel M.(Editor), Handbook of algebra, Vol. 2, North-Holland, 2000.

- [13] Belousov V.D., "Systems of quasigroups with generalized identities", *Usp. Mat. Nauk*, 20, 1965, 75–144. English transl. in *Russian Mathematical Surveys* 20(1965), 73–143.
- [14] Movsisyan Yu.M., "Hyperidentities of Boolean algebras", *Izv.Ross.Acad.Nauk, Ser. Mat.*, 56, (1992), 654–672, (Russian) English transl. in *Russ.Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.* 40, (1993), 607–622.
- [15] Movsisyan Yu.M., "Algebras with hyperidentities of the variety of Boolean algebras", *Izv.Ross.Acad.Nauk, Ser. Mat.*, 60, (1996), 127–168 English transl. in *Russ.Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.* 60, (1996), 1219–1260.
- [16] Movsisyan Yu.M., Aslanyan V.A., "Hyperidentities of De Morgan algebras", *Logic Journal of IGPL*, 20, (2012), 1153–1174.
- [17] Melkonian V., "Circuit integrating through lattice hyperterms", *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications*, Vol.3, 1(2011), 101–119.
- [18] Brzozowski J.A., "De Morgan bisemilattices", *Proceedings of the 30th IEEE International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic (ISMVL 2000)*, p.173, May 23–25, 2000.
- [19] Brzozowski J.A., "Partially ordered structures for hazard detection", *Special Session: The Many Lives of Lattice Theory, Joint Mathematics Meetings, San Diego, CA, January 6–9, 2002 (Invited Paper)* Abstract and Bibliography, 6pp.
- [20] Bergman G., "Hyperidentities of groups and semigroups", *Aequationes Mathematicae*, 23(1981), 50–65.
- [21] Denecke K., Koppitz J., *M-solid varieties of Algebras*, *Advances in Mathematic*, 10, Springer-Science+Business Media, New York, 2006
- [22] Denecke K., Wismath S.L., *Hyperidentities and Clones*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 2000
- [23] Padmanabhan R., Penner P., "A hyperbase for binary lattice hyperidentities", *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 24, (2000), 365–370
- [24] Paseman G. R., "On two problems from "Hyperidentities and Clones", arXiv:1408.2784 [math.LO]
- [25] Movsisyan Yu. M., "Superidentities in the variety of lattices", *Mat. Zametki*, 6(1996), 944–946.
- [26] Taylor W., "Hyperidentities and hypervarieties", *Aequationes Mathematicae*, 23(1981), 30–49.
- [27] Movsisyan Yu.M., Aslanyan V.A., "A functional completeness theorem for De Morgan functions", *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 2014, 162, 1–16.
- [28] Movsisyan Yu.M., Aslanyan V.A., "On computation of De Morgan and quasi-De Morgan functions", *Computer Science and Information Technologies (CSIT)*, 2013, 1–6. IEEE Conference Publications (DOI: 10.1109/CSITechnol.2013.6710334).
- [29] Fried E., Grätzer G., "A nonassociative extension of the class of distributive lattices", *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 49(1), (1973), 59–78
- [30] Fried E., "Weakly associative lattices with congruence extension property", *Algebra Universalis*, 4, (1974), 151–162
- [31] Melnik I.L., "Nilpotent shift of manifolds", *Math. Notes*, 14, (1973), 387–397
- [32] Graczyńska E., "On normal and regular identities", *Algebra Universalis*, 27, (1990), 387–397
- [33] Plonka J., "On varieties of algebras defined by identities of some special forms", *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, 14, (1988), 253–263